	Case 1:23-cv-00822-JLT-SAB Documer	nt 15 Filed 11/16/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DOUGLAS M. MARTIN,	Case No.: 1:23-cv-00822 JLT SAB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
13	v.	RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING DISMISSAL OF CERTAIN CLAIMS AND DEFENDANTS
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION, et al.,	(Doc. 14)
15		(D0C. 14)
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this action filed pursuant to 42	
19	U.S.C. § 1983. On October 19, 2023, the magistrate judge issued Findings and Recommendations	
20	finding Plaintiff stated a cognizable claim of deliberate indifference to his safety against	
21	Defendants Maria Flores and Omar Rubio and recommended that all other claims and Defendants	
22	be dismissed from the action for failure to state a cognizable claim for relief. (Doc. 14.)	
23	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that	
24	any objections were to be filed within 14 days after service and that the failure to file timely	
25	objections may result in a waiver of rights on appeal. (Doc. 14 at 8, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler,	
26	772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014).) He did not file objections, and the deadline to do so has	
27	passed.	
28	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the Court conducted a de	
		1

	Case 1:23-cv-00822-JLT-SAB Document 15 Filed 11/16/23 Page 2 of 2	
1	novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the	
2	Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis. Accordingly,	
3	the Court ORDERS :	
4	1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on October 19, 2023 (Doc. 14) are	
5	ADOPTED in full.	
6	2. This action SHALL proceed on Plaintiff's first amended complaint (Doc. 12) only	
7	as to Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants Maria Flores and	
8	Omar Rubio for deliberate indifference to safety.	
9	3. All other claims and Defendants are DISMISSED from this action for failure to	
10	state claims upon which relief may be granted.	
11	4. This action is referred to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings.	
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: November 16, 2023 United STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	